

P. 13 [128]: *The Christian Book of Facts & Lies:*

Concerning *the Dark Ages, Medieval Church, Reformation & Beyond*

Featuring: 129 verses / paragraphs



A. Prior to beginning: The current Catholic Church is not embodied by true Catholics. It's become followers and supporters of the possible, future One World Religion of Ancient Paganism. (modern Luciferianism). It's absolutely-unfair for anyone to associate the Vatican's errs of today, (beginning through the 2nd half of 20th century), and certainly not the spoken words of anti-Pope Francis, with the Medieval Christian Church.

B. The Jesuits have developed into supporters of the Fallen Angels, Ancient Mystery Religions (releasing DMT through the Pineal Gland (Third-Eye) for acquiring knowledge from Satan), and of Freemasonry. These influential fraternities the Jesuits and Freemasons have allied themselves with International Zionists/Communists. They've cooperatively been efficacious in the Vatican. If the Catholic Church ever had forthcoming plans of their own for World Order, this certainly wasn't aforementioned. The Vatican has become a disgrace.

C. Christianity had put an end to the pagan religions meant to rebel against God by worshipping through demonic realms. As we bear witness to scenes such as Pope John Paul II holding the staff with a *Pinecone*, which readily depicted in Egypt, Freemasonry, etcetera, representing the pineal gland, I've found no evidence that suggests this behavior was in regular practice before the Vatican II council. Of 'course, recently anti-Pope Francis proudly has a pinecone statue by St. Peters, and has constructed a Demonic throne for himself to sit in front of; which displays a horrific picture of the Christ.

D. Go on YouTube to discover other Antichrists who bear false-witness. Their discourse concerning Christ-Consciousness is ostensibly ordained with intent of deceiving people into believing Christ was teaching enlightening through our third-eye; like a yogi. Christians would require an extremely tight bond between them and God with no worldly distractions in order to safely communicate with God in this method.

Noticeably, now in existence are many crypto-*Christian* churches across the U.S. who make people believe by smoking DMT or having a psychic open your 3rd-eye, is an acceptable method to connect with the Holy Spirit. These are False-Witness churches consisting of men and women claiming to be legit pastors of our once beloved land (likewise, corruption always existed) which has become an illusory terra-firma whither all is fantasy and naught is of *veritas*.

E. Nonetheless, inside local Catholic Churches, the true Gospels are strongly preached. Abiding inside these amazing buildings is a strong, positive spiritual presence. They're adamant in teaching Christ is the Word who now He resides in heaven. They preach the word was with God and is God. Also, with the Holy Spirit we have One Being in form of three persons; the Trinity is omnipotent. They also produce an extreme spiritual experience with numerous moments dedicated to silent personal prayer (in people's own words) with God.

F. People who on no occasion have been inside churches for Mass, yet insert detailed claims, or blatantly yell out, "no idol worship!" don't qualify as worthy debaters. I support many arguments of the Reformation, but it's become distinct most individuals involved in this discussion have seemingly performed their research by viewing modern documentaries on YouTube. As for these people, if they were to read at least a few hundred pages from the commencement of Christianity, maybe they'd begin to possess credibility. Many Christian reformers weren't true Christians, albeit bearing false-witness to confuse the true followers.

G. The facts we're told in regard to the Dark Ages, throughout the end of the Middle-Ages, (1499 A.D.), and beyond were principally 'Facts & Lies' we discover much originating, from the thinkers of the financially-elite (many former Noble-Class) brought forth in capitulation by re-written history in the interim and post-Reformation; essentially, during the '*Enlightenment*' to discredit the Church. This all works moving forwards towards a one world Satanic religion.

1. As far back as the *Age of Light*, otherwise known as the Dark-Ages, Mediterranean peoples (including the peoples of N. Africa & Holy Land, incl. modern Turkey, England, Ireland, France & more of Europe) blissfully chose Christ to dominate their lives. The Age of Light (Dark Ages) occurred when the Church of the One Triune God, through teachings of our Lord Jesus Christ, became the center focus of people's lives.
2. God was and is the Light. People were content living blissful lives, in the course of the Age of light. (Dark Ages). Thereupon, I ask you, are you content living today? Can you survive a mere 10yrs. from now with the identical income and cellphone of your present life?
3. The Enlightenment, huh? People, essentially Freemasons and other financially (so-called) elite, including the Jewish bankers & merchants, were Illuminated during the 18/19th century postliminary destruction the Christian Churches prestige, power, influence, and protection of its people. Whereas, depending on who we analyze as the victors, their goals were accomplished by destroying the Catholic Church and exalting man above God.
4. *Illuminated and enlightened* were prevalent words used by Early Christians to represent being baptized into Christ. They were also commonplace when referring to antiquity's demise. Christianity had taken-over the Roman Empire by following the teachings of Christ; thus, becoming the original Enlightenment. Furthermore, Priests who performed baptisms were called Illuminator's. This fact being of utmost significance, because many associated enlightenments with the opening of the 3rd-eye, or Pineal-Gland; at least, in the East.
5. Christianity exhausted the worshipping of a multitudinous of ridiculous Gods. Indeed, we must not forget these former Greek/Roman Gods regularly performed evil deeds. Originally, Christ's message of a loving and forgiving God was completely foreign to their barbarous lifestyle and ability of thought. Their habitually consummated *sex orgies* which at times occurred outside at disparate Temple locations. These orgies are believed to have regularly involved family members. (typically - cousins, although, they enjoyed accusing the Christians of similar behavior which certainly wasn't true). Moreover, pedophilia was regularly acted upon; especially in Rome

and other rich cities designed for the financially-elite such as the currently underwater city of Baillie.

6. Likewise, *pedophilia* was no-longer accepted after the people embraced Christianity. For years the Romans would persecute the Christians, staking claim to ridiculous rumors and making arrests. The main cause of Roman hatred would stem from the Christians refusal to cooperate in their degenerate behavior and the belief of Gods abided in man-made cement statues.

7. The Jews and Pagans of the Empire would engage in spreading degenerate rumors on behalf of the first Christians. Sources state that from the few cities with larger populations of Jews in the eastern part of the empire, Jews would be dispersed west to spread false rumors about Christians. (yes, International-Jew was active in antiquity). Their ways are occasionally effective, but behavior alike poses a serious lack of self-respect. Behavior cognate to this is bar-none, deceitful and disingenuous. It resembles typical behavior inadequate of revealing itself in a debatable manner. (that face-to-face, look you in the eyes, type of manner). Defined as Bearing False Witness, Christ labeled this to be one of the six wickedest sins. For men to act in a such like manner, yet with ability to feel proud of their cowardly actions, well...?

8. Likewise, it was the Romans who felt it was Christians of this era, who deservedly shall be positioned into a defense on behalf of their behavior. Wherefore, once believed to be strong accusations against the new cult, they were spawned from Christians behaviors which were disparate from the norm of the empire, yet were respectful features of life, comparable to totally faithful marriages. The Early Christians chose, by the word of Christ, not to bring violence among anyone. Besides, they were the only ones living the life of a modernly-respectful religion.

9. Many elements of Christianity were to be attractive to the Greeks. A primary element of the Christian behavior found favorable to Greeks, which they highly disapproved on behalf of the Jews, was the treatment of **widows; and women in general**. Within the Jewish community, a widow could no longer attend service inside the synagogues. Widows were

common throughout but restrained from remarrying amid several other inequalities reflected as harsh treatment, even in those times.

10. With the new followers of Christ, and particularly the former Jewish members, old temple behaviors were soon forgotten; like the hindering of women attending mass. Whereas, both men and women, even unmarried or widowed women, attended Church altogether. Hereupon the women could speak and were vital towards their construction of this new expanding faith. Furthermore, women were afforded allowance to remarry if so, her husband had come to pass. Henceforth, these women could restrain from the destitute existence of poor beggars, for there wasn't many options for widows of this period throughout the lands of Judea and they probably didn't *fare much better* throughout the Roman/Greco lands.

11. The Roman Empire ***burnt people at-the-stake***, which included many of the Early Christians, such as Saint Polycarp and St. Ignatius of Antioch. (both friends and disciples of the Apostle John). When the Church usurped the Emperors position in 476 A.D. (the Fall of the {only} Western Roman Empire), the systematic engaging of this vile practice was concluded. The Church scarcely put anyone to death: 'Thou Shall Not Kill'. Do people honestly deem the Church preached this sin, yet publicly disobeyed it themselves.

12. Assuredly, these events occurred, but on a far and wide, lesser scale than we're manipulated into believing. People simply didn't live in constant fear of this practice. Many would've lived out their entirety of their lives without hearing of anyone being burnt at the stake.

* Random Fact: Before the Dark Ages, men on horseback would tirelessly-squeeze their knees together to remain on the horse, because stirrups had not been invented yet. It does appear the Huns must've had stirrups, as they were experts at shooting their bow-and-arrows on horseback; obviously consuming both hands to perform. Rather this fact has never remains unproven. Therefore, dismiss oneself from believing the depictions of antiquity in Hollywood films.

13. In the year 800 A.D. the Pope (Bishop of Rome) crowns a Frank named Charlemagne first Christian King of the now called Carolingian Empire,

forerunner to the Holy Roman Empire – here is where historians mark the beginning of the Middle-Ages. Although, it wasn't until what they collectively consider the High (or Late) Middle Ages (1100 A.D.) where we observe the Christian Religion as it came to overshadow all expanses throughout Europe. Commit to memory, the ***Roman Empire only collapsed in the west***. In the east, the Empire continued amidst stunning glory while embracing Christianity.

14. Historians now refer to this period as the Byzantine Empire; IDK, maybe to make thing easier for those who lack intelligence. Otherwise, the cause must be their love to define the Dark Ages, and another reflection of the arrogance to consider Italy, France, and Britain as the world's only important countries. When analyzing the Eastern Roman Empire, it's most evident the people communicated via Greek language. Nonetheless, these people would never have excluded themselves as Romans, living within the Roman Empire.

15. In the year 1054 A.D. the Great East-West Schism occurred, which brought about two Christian Churches. In the east resided the Greek Orthodox; and abiding in the west was the Catholic Church. Both words Orthodox and Catholic are defined as meaning: universal. The Eastern Roman Empire, (which we now refer to as the Byzantine Empire), had not merely citizens exercising Greek instead of Latin, (completely throughout since 900 A.D.), but by the 11th century was in all-actuality, a completely different world than in the west. Unfortunately, the East-West Schism was inevitable. Remember, despite the name Byzantine, its peoples never discontinued referring to themselves as Romans.

16. The Bishop of Rome in the west, more commonly referred to as the Pope, was gaining great power in the 11th century as well. It may appear the West was selfish, but when realizing how they were abandoned by the founding of Constantinople and left subjected to the barbarian hoards, a different point of view is born.

17. The great schism occurred just prior to the timeframe of the enormous building projects dedicated to the new ***Cathedrals***. [P:17] Now, the beginning of the High-Middle Ages, the Catholic Church had the wealth

and power to order projects on a grand scale. Presently, the Church stands accused of attaining, most-to-all, of its common peoples' money; while the church simply sustained them poor to accumulate all the wealth to be had in Rome. Nevertheless, these cutting-edge building projects had the European peoples irrefutably partaking in building projects which surpassed the architecture of Rome. Additionally, these large-scale and international projects were enacted in people's hometowns or a nearby city. [P:17]

18. The pointed arch, allowed heavy stone roofs to be supported by walls featuring massive openings for windows. Wherefore, citizens could stare in awe for hours, as these openings became the infamous stained-glass windows. Neither can we dismiss the fact; these buildings were to be enjoyed by all-classes of people.

19. The next great schism which occurred was the Papal Schism, 1378-1417 when the seat of the Pope was split in two, with a second Pope abiding in France. The Church simply didn't possess one sovereign power reigning complete control all over Europe for over one-thousand years, as many people think. Among the split of the Papacy the Baltic region and more weren't Christian until the 14th century.

20. The Apostolic Church had always contained ***an education system based on the Ancient Greeks***, who were advanced for their time in astronomy; as they built off records left by the Thracians and ancient Babylonians. So, the next time your children come home from elementary school explaining to you that people thought and were taught, the earth was flat until Columbus discovered America, you can tell them that was re-written history by those seeking power over the Church; it simply wasn't true.

[Historic Facts] The Vikings discover Newfoundland which they named Vinland, although, no others repeated their travels. It's possible this information reached Europe, but I have no evidence to verify such a claim.

21. 177A.D. - Beautiful without doubt is the world, excelling as well in its magnitude as in the arrangement of its parts, both those in the oblique circle and those about the north, and also in its spherical form...Athenagoras

22. *Erastosthenes* of Cyrene (276 B.C.-195 B.C.) was a 3rd century B.C. Greek philosopher, mathematician, geographer, astronomer and poet. He was the third chief librarian at the great Alexandrian Library, as well as, discovering the earth's axis by using shadows in Egypt. Furthermore, he presents an accurate prediction of the total length of the earth's equator; which would come to 25,000 mi. An enormous figure it was, for the people of antiquity to process. His teachings this continued in Alexandria by men such as Athenagoras, a 2nd century philosopher, who was an Early Church Father and Christian apologist.

23. There's many other Early Christian references on astronomy and our Blue Marble, as well. Do you know which disciple of Christ said, "He spreads the north over great empty spaces, and hangs the Earth on nothing." ??

A: John

24. Recently NASA tells us that at minimum 50% of stars we see in our night sky have planets around them. Although, when I call to remembrance the first example of reading this claim, it was derived from an ancient Christian text.

♣ Another amazing fact: ***Only the Church educated women*** during the Age of Light and through the Middle-Ages.

25. The constant claim of the Catholic Church ***murdering 50 million*** people by the sword who wouldn't abide by their rules, is an absolute, ridiculous, and exaggerated remark; a complete lie. Besides, the very high majority of all people throughout Europe loved the Church; and not simply due to fear, of dying without salvation.

26. The American Civil War produced death totals unheard of, before in warfare. This was by using muskets and canons. 630,000+ deaths, was an unthinkable horror for the times, even with a 5yr. war. Of 'course, the Great War in the early 20th century blew away these records, as it emitted far-greater casualties.

27. It was author James M. Carroll who in the book he published, (The Trail of Blood), makes the absurd claim of 50 million murders by the Catholic Church, using the inquisition as the main source for this Trail of Blood. Whereas, this man didn't acquire or present any evidence for his claim. Rather, 'twas plainly something this one complete loser wrote in a book, because he didn't believe in infant baptism. (which's meant to removing natural sin, symbolize joining the order of the church, [something taught by Early Christians], or in the case of death – salvation; according to Catholicism). Even a fraction of this number isn't anywhere near believable, however, let's now envision that it's conceivable....

28. Spawned out of the Enlightenment era (which was gradually arriving regardless) and something which would never have had even the slimmest of opportunity to occur if not for the Reformation leading into the Enlightenment, were the two World Wars. Within a 28yr period, 70 million deaths occurred as a result.; 95+% Christians. Also, equaling Carroll's mythological claim, Another, 50+ million people put to death, because of being Orthodox Christians who believed in Christ. This took place after the antichrist known as the Bolsheviks took control of Russia, via the revolution of 1917. (an event sworn to occur by Nathan Rothchild after Russia refused their designs for a New World Order post-Napoleonic Wars). This event culminated the existence of European style monarchs. These 100+ million murders were to all occur within a period of roughly half of a centuries time. (not a millennium).

29. The tortures in E. Europe and Russia weren't a direct result of the Reformation, merely an effect occurring centuries. The actions taken in the 20th century were mainly the decisions of Jews/Masons with misguided support from Christians. While misled on the major issues, Christians aren't supposed to support changing the rules of warfare to attacking defenseless citizens in place of opposing militaries. Therefore, how do we claim we know better than the Catholic Church. We killed 100 X's more Christian than they ever did. [12:16]

30. Immediately, after the new Zionist Government was installed in Russia, 200,000 Christian Clergy were tortured to death. Many of them being crucified as ceremonies celebrating the killing of Christ with

human-sacrifice each year on Passover had been committed for centuries by the Khazzarians. The Jewish Bolsheviks and Rothchild/Rockefeller families are responsible for just recently torturing and murdering over 100 million Christians. We're particularly speaking on behalf of Jews killing Christians. Otherwise, Jews forcing governments to make their Christians, by way of false-witness, kill other Christians.

31. Yet, simple minded Christians are fooled by their obvious propaganda lies and argue over Christians killing other Christians...***are you kidding me?*** Every time I hear a mention of this 50 million, even if it were a mention of 1 million deaths ending 500 years ago, it causes yours truly to vomit hearing uneducated people speak in this manner who consider themselves followers of the Christ. Who do they blame for the Mosul Genocide? I hope it's not Islamic Terrorists, because ISIS (named after an Egyptian Goddess, not Islam, Syria, and Iraq) were paid mercenaries and far from dedicated Muslims.

32. America's response to the missionaries they were blocking from delivering help was, "we're not going to help them just because they're Christians." Forget not helping, this genocide was clearly planned, allowed, and effectuated by brand new U.S. military weaponry; paid for by stealing money from the U.S. worker's paychecks. Open your eye's!

** Find more on Christian genocide

33. "With simple belief, you'll be saved" – ***Martin Luther***

(By no means, the New Testament teaches this as the behavior of proper Christians. Basically, all preached by Luther resides within the Book of Romans; where Paul did write this one letter with more basic terms, and somewhat alternative compared to his other letters.)

34. What does this even imply? Nobody cannot live outside of the Word, only to declare oneself a true follower/believer. This displays a mistrust in God. Christ condemns the double-minded for He spoke, "they honor Me with their lips, but their hearts are far from me." Double-mindedness is a hugely denounced behavior in the New Testament. We can still perform Good-works, however. Let's remember still doesn't necessarily mean the Catholic sacraments.

† Hence, though I suppose it does truly mean bread and wine, and many occasions working together as a group to produce good works, or inspire others to do the same.

35. With this comment above, in so-many words: The followers were asking Paul about the newer converts previously living as sinful Jews in their demeanor of joyfully blood-sacrificing animals, or perhaps the Greeks, with their unholy behavior of lighting incense to induce idol worship.

36. These crimes are so horrible, will these now Christians even gain salvation through our Lord? – this was the question at hand. (Paul and Christ himself were always pushing good work, not simply belief).

37. Paul answers, “yes, they’ll be judged on their faith alone.” Obviously, stating their sinful behavior in the past will be forgotten, and they’ll be judged on their Christian faith alone – and everything that goes with that. A very amateur-hour move to appropriate a verse out of context from the rest of its paragraph, whole chapter, and entire book, truly. This isn’t the only e.g. on the new doctrine of ***Faith over Works***. New sects designed (and were) to help man progress in their relationship with God were popping-up everywhere. (but weakening Christianity and paying no mind to Christ’s enemies lurking in the shadows). e.g. Dutch Arminianism born through the Calvinistic Church strictly followed Luther’s Faith over Works doctrine.

38. Nevertheless, why focus on faith over works, when we should be active in both? If you allow this concept to take demand, your good works may become neglected. Christ was very demanding on His disciples to inspire loyalty and positive behavior. They were taught a continuity of support for each other, especially, when in the grasp of the evil-one, and suffering affliction. He trained them to individually and altogether perform good works. When reading scripture, we must identify everywhere throughout the Bible in which a certain topic’s discussed.

One cannot drag his feet, while walking in quicksand – S.P.

e.g. Scripture relating to this issue of Eternal Life

† Matthew 19:16-30 asking Jesus of gaining Eternal Life

39. Then someone came to him and said, 'Teacher, what good deed must I do to have eternal life?' 17 And he said to him, 'Why do you ask me about what is good? There is only one who is good. If you wish to enter into life, keep the commandments.' 18 He said to him, 'Which ones?' And Jesus said, 'You shall not murder; You shall not commit adultery; You shall not steal; You shall not bear false witness; 19 Honour your father and mother; also, You shall love your neighbour as yourself.' 20 The young man said to him, 'I have kept all these; what do I still lack?' 21 Jesus said to him, 'If you wish to be perfect, go, sell your possessions, and give the money to the poor, and you will have treasure in heaven; then come, follow me.' 22 When the young man heard this word, he went away grieving, for he had many possessions. 23 Then Jesus said to his disciples, 'Truly I tell you, it will be hard for a rich person to enter the kingdom of heaven. 24 Again I tell you, it is easier for a camel to go through the eye of a needle than for someone who is rich to enter the kingdom of God.' 25 When the disciples heard this, they were greatly astounded and said, 'Then who can be saved?' 26 But Jesus looked at them and said, 'For mortals it is impossible, but for God all things are possible.'

27 Then Peter said in reply, 'Look, we have left everything and followed you. What then will we have?' 28 Jesus said to them, 'Truly I tell you, at the renewal of all things, when the Son of Man is seated on the throne of his glory, you who have followed me will also sit on twelve thrones, judging the twelve tribes of Israel. 29 And everyone who has left houses or brothers or sisters or father or mother or children or fields, for my name's sake, will receive a hundredfold, and will inherit eternal life. 30 But many who are first will be last, and the last will be first.'

It remains difficult to know we have eternal life. Therefore, this corrupt practice of wrongfully utilizing the third-eye now, becomes appealing; for a fairly quick answer. For help gaining awareness of your eternal life, and protection from this practice, read 1 John 5:13

40. Henceforth, I now openly ask all: Do you think the Reformation was an attack on the Catholic Church or an attack on Christianity? There wasn't an exceeding church other than Catholic Church for these people. It remained this way, subsequently from the Apostolic Era. Pay meticulous attention to that the succeeding comment, because it's an arduous task attempting to relate in modern times. At the onset of our birth, many churches were already in existence. This is merely the Christian life which we're familiar with; numerous Protestant churches. Henceforth, the Reformation was predominantly an attack on Christianity, and the Christian Church.

41. It's easy now, with so many Protestant Churches to think of the Catholics as separate, but that's all anybody was back then. (In central Europe and the West). Rome was the Christian Church and a defender of Christianity. Don't be so easily fooled by the everything within the Reformation's makeup. The Church in Rome had many faults of their own. They clearly did not handle to opposition by proper or productive means. Seemingly, they weren't willing to acquiesce their style for anybody. Nevertheless, they never had full control themselves, as much as they longed for. They were constantly battling opposition from a multitudinous of sources. We can behold their climax and glory during the 13th century, conversely, as mentioned before, there was still lands in Europe at this period yet to practice Christianity. (e.g. Baltics, Scandinavia)

42. The reigning defender, and only defender in Western Civilization for Christ worship on Earth was the Church of Rome. Suddenly, exploding like a meteorite colliding with the earth came many more defenders of our faith. One problematic issue of this new era is the concern of 'defensive' behavior, and it's painful to examine. With more Churches and new Pastors throughout the lands, the defense of Christianity from external enemies became far, far weaker than ever before. More (denominations, pastors, even countries or total Christians) was simply not better when referring to unity. [less w/more]

43. Neither, can we dismiss the Popery throughout the land. If the citizens of Europe failed to realize the level of protection in which the Church was supplying. If they were able to foresee the future of the world

wars and rise of Zionism/Marxism/Communism, they may have reacted different. In similar fashion to England placing taxes on her Empire's peoples who felt they lived far-away inside the borders of their own countries; hence, the American Revolution, the people in Europe felt the Vatican was in not in need of their money or control of their land.

44. ***Jesus Christ implemented the first Sacrament*** of bread and wine at the Last Supper and doing so for reputable reasons; as He foresaw the future of Christianity. (currently referring to early Christianity). This blood and body sacrament was always distinguished as the foremost bond declaring Christians inseparable. For many centuries afore, the Jews sinned against the original Hebrew religion and God with a proficient continuity of worship demonstrated to other Gods/Idols. Additionally, the next few centuries would present foremost levels of pressure on the Christians to impersonate animal sacrifice, in the same manner of the Jew.

45. The Roman Empire continually pressured their peculiar version of sacrifice at an alarming rate, on the Early Christians. The constant lighting of incense and believing in gods whose spirits' lay to rest within its dedicated statue, (man-made with Roman cement), was not allowed for Christianity. Correspondingly, for the Christians, they had their own Sacrament. Bread and wine (flesh & blood) were required elements of being an Early Christian.

† For research early Christian writings, besides New Testament, or for understanding the New Testament with greater clarity: *Ante-Nicene Fathers* 10 Volumes, 10,000+pgs. of legitimized writings from the first 3 centuries of Christianity. (this doesn't include Arian or Gnostic Texts such as the Gospel of Thomas). Also, available is the *Ante-Post Ante Nicene* volumes which consist of a total of 23volumes (ft. St. Augustine/St. Chrysostom/etc.) OR - *Early Church Fathers*, other multiple books on Early Christian Martyrs, etcetera.

46. Because the Apostle Peter handed down the keys (received from Christ) of Christ's kingdom in succession to the Roman Bishop's, the Church always believed in ***Apostolic succession***. Although, straying too far from its original definition and too much endowment handed to the pope, this became no longer justified. I'm fine with a rule implemented by a man who dedicated every moment of his life to Christ, which occurred over a millennium ago; that feels historic to me. Indeed, matters like this need somewhat of a democratic vote. They should be voted and agreed upon by a majority of the Cardinals, who must also receive council from Bishops more connected with the local communities. This involves prayer with the insight of God.

47. As for ***Rome's authority***, he Roman Church did, however, send out letters directing the other churches in deeds and believe, ever since the beginning of Christianity. The two most famous Apostles were both here. Paul send out works depicting to others, the proper way of honoring God. After being Bishop of Antioch (church sadly destroyed) for 7 years, until the church was no longer, Peter came to Rome as the first Bishop of Rome. This is true, so restrain from believing otherwise.

48. The Eastern Orthodox claim of succession starting succession with Emperor Constantine is one of the most ridiculous claims ever made on Christianity. I don't care what he did, or did not do, throughout his life. He was a Roman Emperor, and this ranks a great distance from that of an actual disciple and friend of the Lord! Besides, Constantine was only baptized (enlightened, remember) whilst resting upon his deathbed. Moreover, he was baptized as an Arian Christian. This was the number one heresy against the Church and the reason above all, which Constantine declared the Council of Nicene. H Orthodox Christians were vigorously attempting to eliminate Arian doctrine during the Emperor's life.

49. They cannot deny nor reverse their history only to make modern claims. This is as absurd as Protestants beginning new churches 16-17 hundred years later but claiming only they know the correct original doctrine; therefore, are the true Church. Although, apparently, he outwardly supported the decision to eliminate Arian Christianity, (where Christ is a Prophet), however, he chose Arian Baptism (whatever that is) on his

deathbed in his attempt to be granted salvation. For this reason, all Christian doctrine places Constantine in Hell. A far away location from where Christ's hand-picked number one disciple, the Apostle Peter sat as the Bishop of Rome. Some sustain from the belief of Peter as truly the leader. Well, at minimum we can pronounce him the most important, for the disciples altogether aren't mentioned in the New Testament, as much as Simon Peter.

50. Protecting the Word of God *and Did we perform this task better ?* The Vatican gets criticized for renaming a former-pagan statue out front the statue of St. Peter. This would've been common practice all throughout the world. The Roman and Greek world was full of statues, on a magnanimous scale. Sculpting and painting were the most magnificent forms of artwork; though sculpting was far-more physical and time-consuming. Firstly, we must understand the Early Christians had no means to a sculp a statue that resembles him perfectly, and this shouldn't be required later in the future. So, is it the statue many disagree with altogether?

51. Christians, *including Catholics don't worship statues* and neither did the Romans worship regular statues. Statues may have been plentiful, however, the Romans built additional Temples with larger Statues who they believed were exact replicas of their Gods. Essentially, more than replicas, the Romans/Greeks truly believed its where their spirit abided; and this is what's meant by the term, Idol Worship. Once again, Modern Christians fail the intelligence found in this matter. Quite possibly, the continuity of intelligence failure and hastily nature of explaining their presupposed views derives from a severe lack of reading. Christians today are completely falling for the tricks of the Antichrist, as they're too busy and/or tired, to read a book, but only after researching the source of which it emanates. Whereas, staring at television, watching the Antichrist's movies, or many of the amateur YouTube documentaries is considered just fine. To them it's the norm, without notice of the attack on their own sovereignty or the self-inflicted loss of right to pursue their destiny. This is a matter of the most elevated importance.

52. Furthermore, in Vatican City they did at least, add a large key to the St. Peter statue as it depicts him holding the invaluable keys received from Jesus. Scripture we read most-likely isn't referring to Peter placed before the gates of heaven holding the key needed to gain access. Maybe, but that's stupid.

53. Upon meticulous examination of complaints and opposition from Christians opposing of the *new sacraments issued by the Church over the centuries*; not many have been composed by the Church, we must admit. This becomes a simple assumption when we consider the extreme length of time we're covering. Imagine all the changes in life, society, government, and the newly formed Protestant sects throughout the past 50 yrs. Furthermore, think of the couple sacraments which occur when they occur. Does this happen during school or at work? Perhaps it simply occurs while the believer's already sitting in church, therefore, allowing them to participate and feel more involved.

54. That's correct, I said a couple sacraments while in Mass. Additionally, there's confession, but this is thoroughly, although briefly covered a few pages ahead, [13:70]; hereupon, with minor proper research we'll now *feel the fool* again. There's also C.C.D. for the kids once a week for a few months a year, leading to First Communion and ultimately Confirmation by age 16-17. Although, formerly hosted by one of the parents at that child's home (new laws implemented after the Waco, Texas slaughtering) state you cannot have non-residents continuing to visit your house for purposes of reading the Bible. Hence, C.C.D. now occurs at the church. Nowadays, many Catholic children never get confirmed, although, technically one must have made their confirmation to later be married within the church. Possibly, this CCD's where the church overreaches its authority amongst its people. The other option would be announcing to the parents at church on Sunday, to teach and keep some level of the Bible in their children's lives throughout the week. (Whereas, CCD is basic teaching, more fun for children. e.g. coloring pictures of Christ or receiving small crucifixes).

55. Perhaps, sacraments may seem and feel to certain people as more of simply another form of control. Perchance, you view this as the Catholic-style N.W.O. Nevertheless, it would've been far improved from

the Jewish NWO now subjugating us all; and has gained such enormous power, it has even subdued the Vatican, itself! (though primarily the Reformation followed by the Revolution of France commenced by anti-Christian foreigners). Our lives would've had meaning in the Church and humans would remain as thinkers, not blind followers. This conceivable image may be exaggerated; nevertheless, for these elements of the Church, many believe should be available to become disputed or reviewed, every so many years. (or whatever.) It was strongly believed by many Christians that without these sacraments on Sunday's the church population would fade and unity would disappear. Undoubtedly, this theory was proved correct, therefore, seeming ignorant for us to argue against!

56. It must be noted that found within *The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion*, (PDF full-book download available on redeemingtruth.com), the Jewish elders take credit for the spreading of Protestantism in Europe and America; stating their only two difficult enemies to overcome were the Vatican and the Russian Monarch.(no author has ever been determined for the *Protocols of the Elders...*). I love the Protestant way of life, but we must be realistic. Christians in America who live honorably Christian lives but then again feel good about the importance of breaking-up the unified Church; because everything's perfect now with people using the Gospel and this is the way God wanted, they point out heresies blah blah blah. Excuse my language here, but like Christ at the Money Changer's tables I need to make an eye-popping, intense statement, "are you f+*@!#ng serious, you morons?"

57. Okay, we know your own Christian life seems grand, however, ***what happened as a result?*** Don't forget about what the new International Bankers commenced immediately post-Reformation; primarily commenced through Oliver Cromwell and the Puritans obsession with the Old Testament. ↓

- I. Presently, millions of Christians are being raped and crucified all over the Middle-East to eliminate their populations. Who's behind it? The crypto-Evangelical *Christians*, bankers and corporations, Israel/Zionists, and technically the U.S. workers; for they use our money and military. The current Catholic Church is seemingly for

these decisions. Although, minorly outspoken, it's obvious they're no longer the true church of Rome which formerly yielded immense influence over governments and their actions.

- II. These identical people are becoming close to completely, eliminating Christianity in America as well. (yet to be on a scale of life-taking persecution). We've got laws, specifically against the Bible !! We gone back 2,000 yrs. to being labeled a cult by our own government. **Correction:** They're indeed taking lives. Not yet through genocide, but on a smaller scale with imprisonment.
- III. The Armenian Genocide, guess America's and Europe's Protestant don't care for the very 1st Christian Nation.
- IV. The Greek Genocide that occurred as recently as the 1960's.
- V. Zionist (Jewish) Enslaving of Central and Eastern Europe along with the outlawing of Christianity. Any person caught practicing the faith would be slowly tortured or raped to death.
- VI. In Russia, tens of Millions of Christians were ordered tortured to death or sent to Gulag's to be worked to death by their new Jewish leaders called the ***Bolsheviks***. (who murdered Czar Nicholas and his entire family which included his young daughter). Christianity was suddenly abolished, and thousands of Priests were crucified throughout Russia. Why would anyone talk about Hitler, (he was defending his people from this torture and Christian abolishment, *even if* it is true the Nazis killed or made the Jews work in camps) when simultaneously (plus prior and afterwards) far more massive cruelty, torture, and genocide were occurring to the east? This was evilly done to Christians. Yes, only because they were Christians. Not due to the fact they were Russian, Estonian, Polish, Lithuanian, Czechoslovakian, Latvian, German, and more, but because they were Christians. (and Jews hate Christians). Christians never possessed this type of hatred within us. I can barely write down these facts at times, for they're so repulsive in nature.
- VII. The French Revolution, the event to finish off any remaining power of the Catholic Churches. 50,000 Christians were slaughtered like lambs in Paris. This was the first big Rothchild orchestrated event;

and 'twas 100% a Rothchild production. From this point onward, this family has been the main cause for every war that we've been involved. Not plainly the main cause, but also eagerly starting the wars on purpose of Christian hatred and of 'course their vile greed.

VIII. This list could easily continue, but we'll pause and move on.

58. I'm losing my patients with my fellow Protestants. I cannot bear the sound of another documentary put out on YouTube which simply forgets all the MAGNAMINOUS events of attacks on Christianity, and mentions once more the words, they killed 50 Million (by the sword an impossible feat).
Maybe they should've murdered 50 million Muslims and Jews.

59. ***Reformers never read scripture***, early text at the time of Reform, or most important ***Church history to learn why particular elements were established***; as much as they followed ***their rebellious*** (or individual) ***hearts***. Therefore, how were they making such definite complaints on the sacraments? They claimed they were returning to the Bible because Luther took one verse out of context. Indeed, they were correct knowing people required personal Bibles within their family homes. They experience the power of the *word* and the Spirit had shown them *verbum Domini lucerna pedibus* Even worse, is using the Book of Romans (of all books) as your only guide to Christ. Rome was an established religion. The argument should've been: *We desire to worship Christ in our own method, make it less of a religion and more spiritual based, and we'll determine our heresies based on the New Testament only.* (thereafter, they'd discover they needed more than what's solely in the testament, plus realize it explains the Holy Spirit will guide us in our future decisions of the faith.)

There was too much finger pointing at Rome and accusing the Church of heresy. Furthermore, the only finger pointing they required was the greedy lifestyle of Vatican City. Yes, massive Cathedrals were built near people's homes, but the people could've requested that some wealth to be spread amongst themselves or asked for *lowering to none* donations to the churches.

59. Besides, we're ***completely ignoring the Early Christian*** writings when we don't allow new ideas to flow, because these writings always encouraged the Holy Spirit as a guide to learn more about God; even the life of Christ. [P.37] I'm not content with this, but we cannot deny scripture. (which includes at minimum: first two Apostolic generations post-Christ). St. Clement himself, even staked claim on new aspects of Christ's teachings being discovered by future generations through the Spirit. Which brings us to our next discussion...

60. ***Is Rome a justifiable head of the Christian Church?*** From the very beginning, in the 1st century, the Roman Church was sending out letters to all the other original churches with instructions and to offer help. Saint Peter himself, who was the first Bishop of Rome, ordained St. Clement a Priest before Clement became the fourth Bishop/Pope. St. Clement leaves us an inspiration Epistle directed towards the Church in Corinth. The 2nd Epistle to the Corinthians, is typically believed to be written by someone else. Emperor Trajan had Clement tied to a boat anchor and thrown into the sea, making him join a long list of the *Bishops of Rome* who were martyred before Constantine arrived and called the Council of Nicaea.

61. **Returning to Sacraments and Holy Spirit implementation:** Imagine a Pope lived a life where he never ceased smiling whence looking at others, he never-once failed to have the time to listen to someone/anyone, and he constantly thought of others well-being. This same man never believed all his accomplishments were his own doing but derived by the Hand of God, and this man vigorously thanked and repented to Christ as he prayed on numerous accounts every day of his life. This Pope was loved and revered by his people and he was incredibly missed when his time had come to pass. (Although, it was obvious to all that he performed more than enough good-works to be able to relax in Paradise.). > continued in 13:61

62. This would be a man which everyone would desire performing acts or rituals in his memory? Is this disallowed to be incorporated into Sunday Mass? The people would love it! Particularly after centuries of exact routines. (Of 'course, maybe a few hundred-years later they deem it unnecessary and

desire it removed.). Sometimes people need encouragement and new ideas to remain participants. Yet no man's attention has ever taken away from God's time, which's *all* of mass. This is a good thing nobody's ever been incorporated into Sunday Mass, not even a Saint of the Virgin Mother of God. The Vatican has surprisingly been good here, but we can visualize this being a religion not simply spirituality. Nonetheless, Popes have implemented (maybe with support of the Cardinals) sacraments into the Church. I'll state they've overstepped their influence in this area, although I'll admit they've established very few considering the many, many, many, centuries the Church has been in existence. Anyhow, let's observe how the Catholic Church's a religion, not simply faith. This could be the argument, but the reformers would still have to explain their want as a new idea. Why? Because, Christianity was established as a religion of following beliefs and rituals, not merely as belief in Jesus.

63. Another huge observation which we come to happenstance is the Catholic church had to ***cater to a multitudinous of disparate peoples***. The church was endeavoring and converting people from many cultures, who spoke many languages, and brought with them many traditions which all were reluctant to give-up.

64. The past declaration exposes a main element of Catholicism that was in question by the opposition. The unending ***Masses in Latin*** throughout all countries, in which the church will use the former statement of culture varieties to defend their behavior. Likewise, would this not be included in the reason to assign Bishops to watch over the Priests, ensuring all doctrine is correctly related to the people in their own language? Yes, the Word of God doesn't translate perfect word for-word into every language. Some languages don't have words to substitute with perfection, and increasing varieties persist from the Priest's linguistic patterns, particularly in the sermons. However, these are the changes that should be made to properly relay the Gospel to your believers/followers.

65. On the other hand, the Protestants contend too many changes have already taken place, hence, they cannot argue this as well. *keep in mind the we can argue what we want, but it must be in the form where we wanted to work out solutions to these issues w/the church, or receive

proper explanation of why they are, and how they came to be, from the church. If we propose Mass in a hosting nation's country, then we must be equipped to allow minor changes in every nation involve.

66. It appears it was the Catholic Church defending against what they viewed as the true Word of God. Minor misinterpretations or understandings would need to be worked out over-time. This variety in the Word, is nothing compared to the variableness of beliefs we're forced to settle with now, in order to unite (and except) all Christians.

67. Thus, a big 'Q': Did the church impart explanations, but nobody listened, because the oppositions propaganda disbursed via the newly invented printing-press was in too great of volume to compete with? Perchance, did the church consider itself all divine and above reproach? Thus, conducting us to our next complaint...

68. This argument's written by a multifariousness of authors originating out of England, and other venues, is that nobody understood anything said during Mass on Sunday. Thus, animating a solid criticism and concern of many Christians during the Reformation, after being brought to their attention. Only it's ridiculous when people overlook the fact, the Church was commenced in Italy, where everyone understood what was relayed to them by the Catholic Priests. They naturally evolved into what was eventually referred to as Italian; On the contrary, Bibles recorded into English starting with Wycliffe's, through the King James's, to the NIV, to the English Standard Version, and many more, we receive a great variableness of styles throughout a relatively short period.

69. ***Why could not Italians write down what they heard*** spoken at Church and distribute this material? Are you telling me no elements of Mass, or sermons spoken with the Gospel were ever received by anyone else in Europe? Would a small amount of this behavior even make a difference before the printing-press? Have we protected the word of God?

70. It's true we only need ***Christ as our mediator*** to confess our sins, actually the New Testament says there's only one Mediator between us

and God the Almighty – which of ‘course is Jesus. Nevertheless, we must stop believing the allegorical perception of the Catholic Church being commenced in the 4th century, and then, simply began implementing new elements of the faith; resembling **confession** with a Priest. None of this is true. If you’ve been told it’s true, then you’ve been tricked by someone lying to you (probably because they hate you) in their attempt to destroy Christianity. Yes, we see written Christ’s all we need to confess our sins. Repentance from you to the Christ is the most effective, and it was written so, as well. Alone in penance with Jesus as your mediator is a wonderful experience; is a spiritual/mystical experience.

71. Nevertheless, the first generation Apostolic Christians confessed their sins openly every Sunday at Church for all too hear. This method was used during Sunday Church by all Christians, for quite some time. For the true scholars, or amateur readers with a love of history, particularly a love for Christianity, Jesus Christ and the truth: they already possess this information. *Intelligence is found in reading.

72. Wherefore, Catholic Priests implemented an exclusive design of confession, to protect the people from confessing their sins inclusively to the whole of the community. This may seem like a form of control and ability to control the local populations and is an element of the Church which could certainly be up for deliberation in modern times for whether this should truly available. Although, not too many Catholics confess to their Priests, anyways; ‘tis not a requirement! With that said, the truth was loving and caring Priests showing concern for their people. This seemingly appears as an element of control, only when we forejudge the Church as some demonic entity. Most Catholic Priests would never judge anyone or repeat anything that was spoken to them.

73. Protestants are the people bringing negative, or demonic thoughts into a Christian Church through false prejudgments. The truth here was the Priests helping the people conceal their sins by keeping them between themselves and God; well, almost. As time moved through the Middle-Ages many Christians needed another being closer to God, for an improved relation and feeling of accomplishment. This displays our weakness as mortal beings, but some preferred or needed this method. It

doesn't disqualify them from confessing in their prayers at home, however, these confessions are typically in a more general nature. Nonetheless, the Church was reacting to the already established method, and at the least took a step into the correct direction based on the welfare of its people. Henceforth, there's nothing here to cry-over because nobody's being forced to do anything, anyhow. Subsequently, this argument doesn't even make sense to a Catholic.

74. Whereas, if non-Catholics want to scream "we do it by the Bible and follow Christ identical to his disciples", well then, it appears they should be confessing their sins aloud to their congregation every Sunday. Otherwise, they should read the New Testament and other epistles from the Early Church Fathers of Christianity. (one source is the Ante-Nicene Fathers 10 volumes, and available in other formats such as free PDF's, as well). Additionally, required for a thorough argument would be learning any Catholic dogma/catechisms from over the centuries. Also, to be noted: St. Augustine's immense literature had influence on the Church.

75. † * ***Was this movement truly led by Christians***, or freemasons mostly headed by crypto-Jews Jews and the new printing-press combined with some of the greedy and power-hungry Noble Class? (similar to today). Was this the first great propaganda campaign using media?? Well, I've heard this argument before, but let me point out that 100 years prior to Martin Luther we find in history a similar Protestant Reformation in Russia. ***Like in Rome, some of the Orthodox Church was forgetting about the many poor people*** of the land as they were living wealthy lives. Lives unlike Christ and His disciples, may I add. Firstly, they stood by protected from the Mongols as they arrived ever generation to steal money, men and woman from the non-clergy Russians. Secondly, some monks left the church to begin teaching the Gospel, and it wasn't hard for people to uncover misbehavior from the Church after reading the Gospel. (same game as Wycliffe). Mainly the issue of selling Priesthood training and opening to only the highest bidder. Because they became so wealthy under the Tartar invasions, everyone wanted to live in a Monastery.

76. The Church spread the Word and catered to many disparate peoples, many languages, different culture, and for 1,500 years had kept it

together. By the time the Protestantism was born, it ***proved unable to last anywhere close to a single century***. There was a reason the Christ's Church had lasted that long, because more-or-less they knew what they were doing. Lutheranism and the Church of England simply preached replacing the Vatican with their own governments. Afterwards, thousands of Protestant sects would rise from the ashes of the Vatican.

77. We believe in a one-on-one relationship with the Lord through the Holy Spirit. God's everywhere in the invisible. We're always with Him, not simply on Sundays. We can repent anytime we want, where ever it may be that we choose. Does it mean we refuse obedience? But was is proper obedience? It can only be decided through God.

78. We want the Gospel. The Gospel's needed in our daily lives. It's, teachings are so inspiring, it proves Christ should be the center of the Faith, and not the rules of the Church. Apparently, the Popes never understood this, but we cannot just assume we were never going to receive Bibles. With the printing-press invention, they most likely would've made their way printed in multiple languages, but if we believe the Popes would be forever against this, which they easily could've been – then a separation from the Catholic Church was imminent and for our own good.

79. Undoubtedly, ***the truth was heard felt, and experienced by millions post-Reformation***. The Gospel allowed so many blessed lives with Christ, but the Early Protestant completely overlooked the tremendous need of protection from Antichrist. They solidified trust in society and acted as if, all people would live gracious Christian lives.

80. This being the identical problematic issue of concern for the modern Baby-Boomer. Would they have been lied to throughout the entirety of their lives from their own government and the Church, on a similar level of what the Antichrist has accomplished? A Christian people, even the less intelligent and violent in nature, would absolutely-not have the make-up to produce the false-witness way of sociology, on any scale even close to what the Antichrist has vehemently accomplished. They've committed massive, ***never seen before levels in history of genocide on Christians***. Afterwards, through their media, they boldly placed the blame

on other Christians. These Early Protestants never could've imagined how truly-evil these people are in nature, who're presently devising acts of our congress and controlling our world. It shouldn't have been too difficult to bear witness to the noble class of people's disdain for the commoners. Perhaps, due to the Church acting as their own mediators between the nobles and peasants, the full fury of the nobles' greed and violent nature was never released. They never thought anyone could lie so bluntly, or torture humans without care, in the way in which they do.

81. We know if we live quiet, humble, Christian lifestyles, we'll gain salvation as proper children of God. Unfortunately, so many Christians are suffering torture, we're forced to act in defense of our brother's and sister's.

78** The Catholic Church was well-aware of Christ's enemies. They protected Christians for centuries. However, no clergy had ever possessed the foresight to evolve from, and out of the Feudal System. It may have been rare for Christians to be captured and turned slaves by other religions/ethnicities, yet the Church populace throughout all of Europe consisted of servants and peasants on a grand (and seemingly natural) scale.

Is it not onerous to imagine these people truly practicing the religion of Christianity when they abided within a culture of Clearly defined separate social classes determined by birth?

82. Pilgrims accused Henry VIII of simply substituting the Pope with himself, they left and landed in Plymouth, MA. Within half a century after this amazing journey, the Jews attacked England; and the Pilgrims once supported giving them land in England. Therein, sensing the weakness of the Church of England, they clearly knew the strength of Rome and the Pope's awareness of the devil inside them was no longer an issue. The days of minimization from the once mighty city-state had come to pass. Without delay, Charles I was murdered. After the English Civil War was over (another example of divide and conquer) the Jewish Bankers controlled the Bank of England and history was changed forever. **

83. *The Church was abandoned to fight off non-stop waves of infiltration and attacks.* The most large-scale and damaging post-reformation attacks became ratified through the French Revolution, headed directly by Rothchild.

84. Since 1962 A.D. the *Vatican has been officially taken over by satanic Jews and Masons with their allies the Jesuits;* who're now Jews and not Catholics. Those weren't true Catholic Priests causing the wave of pedophilia. Pope Francis is a New World Order Satanist, he even makes the 666-symbolism with his right hand, when he says the word God. He doesn't hide his One World Religion goals.

85. Nevertheless, *there remain a few Cardinal-seat left of tradition Catholics* who oppose the Pope and are left stranded to fight the battle all alone, because not a single Protestant realizes what's happening. For proof, we can view their anti-pope writings, occasionally, online. Do Protestants believe these ridiculous anti-Catholic documentaries on YouTube? Come on, let's get serious! Likewise, many examining Vatican II, Pope Paul VI, and Pope Francis are seemingly true.

86. I for one, cannot stand the sickening acts of pedophilia with the Catholic Church. *Nonetheless, I comprehend this* caused by the Masonic (including International Jews) and Jesuit (once Catholic, now allied with Jewish Illuminati/Communists) infiltration and takeover of the now, Satanic Vatican. * Although, I must let it be known, besides some random Priests slipping through with personal issues, the actions of the Vatican have not reached the local Catholic Churches of America. They preached constantly: that Jesus is God, that Jesus is merciful and wants to grant salvation, and that Jesus is in heaven with His arms spread open hoping to welcome us all.

87. The interminable issue of *Purgatory* attended:

Someone's belief in Purgatory depends on how far they desire to extend early writings and believe them to be the truth. When something was clearly taught or believed in antiquity, but we currently dispute the beliefs as nonfactual, by this action some may be called a heretic, but conversations alike, (unlike the Gospel) are the elements of our religion we can evaluate in the 21st century; of the information-age. Whereabouts, do we

draw the line of what we know is God's direct Word, or possibly dreamt-up ideas originating from man?

88. One thing's for sure, I once believed these problematic-to-contemplate factors from the origins of religion could be ignored, or worse, completely written-off. On the contrary, with the lies we've been fed about science and evolution, in combination with the facts of discovering the truth referring to the worldwide flood and higher spiritual realms, there's no possible method of approach to justify writing-off anything! [P.37] (redeemingtruth.com/evolution-pineal-christ.html)

89. Besides what's directly written in the Gospels, and many validated Early Christian writing such as the first disciples of Christ's Apostles, everyone's beliefs will vaguely vary. Either so, or the wording presented slightly different bestows ability to others for interpreting the meanings slightly different. *As for the revolution Faith & Knowledge has befitted amongst the Christians and non-Christians alike*, insignificant †[1p] contretemps in dogma no longer draw disheartening debates against followers of the Christ, as united we've become; maintaining faith in the scorn of adversary, bearing a resemblance to the era of the legendary Roman Empire. Furthermore, we're commencing an impregnable defense into this battle befallen upon by unwarranted viewpoints and politics. With the numbers exhibiting our-side favor, this attribute must be realized and used to our advantage prior to becoming too late.

90. I'm not saying there wasn't the Harrowing of Hell after the death of Christ, when He descended to rescue many of souls, prior to ascending into Heaven to sit at the right-hand of the Father. Although, many don't even believe our merciful God would ever send the condemned into the eternal flames, therein this topic, a variety of principles arise from the ashes of these basics elements.

91. Generally-speaking, people held belief in Purgatory, dating back into the Old Testament and beyond. It's the specific claims of the Upper and Lower Limbo, or the nine layers of Dante's Inferno appear later in the Medieval period which I refrain myself believing as facts. Neither can I

say these factions definitely, don't exist. In the same manner which I cannot claim purgatory doesn't or does exist.

92. Anyhow, within the more credible source of the Old Testament we learn of the Jews underworld named *Sheol* which has four layers, a fact most Christians aren't keen too. As it appears, the early Christian church already began reducing the elements of Hell, most-likely, because they were simply unapproachable. This wasn't something they could prove, and it seemed too far-fetched to them. Of 'course most had the proper teachings of Jesus, I'm merely considering all the writing from many men throughout the centuries.

93. Additionally, abiding within the sacred text inscribed in the Old Testament is Purgatory, with the given name of Maccabees. Here one finds running streams of water. The infamous theologian and philosopher Saint Thomas Aquinas wrote on four levels of hell. These consist of Gehena, Hell, Purgatory, Limbo of the Fathers, or simply Limbo. (where unbaptized babies reside). Limbo was always a common theme; in Latin it's called Limbus.

94. There's many accounts scripted nigh on purging our sins, whether you insist this proves a direct reference to purgatory, could be a series of debate. Nonetheless, it's composed that a soul cannot enter the gates of heaven, lest purified. Wherefore, Purgatory's created as a place to purge your final sins, for nobody could possibly have repented each and all sins proceeding death.

95. Unexpectedly to some, Christ refers to Hell as Hades in the New Testament. [P.3] A Greek word for Hell, but naturally commonly used in the Hellenized world to depict the underworld; although, the Greeks, as well, had multiple layers in the underworld. The bottom dungeon of Tartarus appears in 'Confined to Oblivion' found within Faith & Knowledge to Overcome Addiction.

96. In the Tombs (Catacombs) of 1st Century Christians, they carved writing referring to Purgatory. In addition to St. Clement, Tertullian, and Origen (among others) wrote about Purgatory, therefore, it was always a belief.

Only intelligent discussions in the 21st century allowed:

97. Acknowledgement: The Catholic Church ***clearly didn't invent Purgatory*** has undoubtedly been bestowed to all former believers of this theory. Nevertheless, this false testimony has been depicted in a multitude of anti-Catholic writings and video documentaries. This is obviously, a categorical lie. Whilst inventing Purgatory didn't occur with intent to sell ***Indulgences*** by the Church, nonetheless, sell indulgences on the basis of this theme. Correspondingly, it's vital to me that we prevent acting ignorant or unintelligent when handling this matter, as well.

98. In the movie John Wycliffe, the Morning Star, there's a scene when an uneducated and gullible man stakes claim to a shorter purgatory sentence, because of the indulgence purchased from the Church. He's even acting in sin because he has a piece of paper granting him pardon. (ridiculous). As this scene resumes, Wycliffe discovers the man's Priest conned him into believing and buying an indulgence. (Technically, Christians were purchases indulgences, but making donations.)

99. My scholarly response to this refined theology would be, "***People Weren't Idiots!***" Even the simpletons weren't complete boneheads? It was common knowledge that the indulgences weren't to be taken literally, it was a creative way to have a church collection for the building of St. Peters; the most impressive building (church) in the world. Many organizations produce creative funding projects for fun. As I eagerly watched the Wycliffe movie, enjoying a real Christian film without Hollywood denounces Jesus as the Son God, I came across the pathetic indulgence scene. That was it, off it went! I'm not going to let Hollywood persuade me with their false-propaganda by allowing myself to be lie too; especially, by such an absolutely retarded claim, by utterly stupid people!

Excerpt from: ***Faith of Our Fathers*** by James Cardinal Gibbons

100. "[1] If Moses was justified in appealing to the Hebrew people, in the Old Law, for offerings to adorn the tabernacle, why should not the Pope be equally justified in appealing for similar offerings to the Christian

people, among whom he exercises supreme authority, as Moses did among the Israelites?

101. [2] Nor did the Pope exceed his legitimate powers in promising to the pious donor's spiritual favors in exchange for their donations. For if our sins can be redeemed by alms to the poor,⁴⁸⁰ as the Scripture tells us, why not as well by offerings in the cause of religion? When Protestant ministers appeal to their congregations in behalf of themselves and their children, or in support of a church, they do not fail to hold out to their hearer's spiritual blessings in reward for their gifts. It is not long since a Methodist parson of New York addressed these sacred words to Cornelius Vanderbilt, the millionaire, who had endowed a Methodist college: "Cornelius, thy prayer is heard, and thy alms are had in remembrance in the sight of God." The minister is more indulgent than even the Pope, to whom were given the keys of the Kingdom of Heaven; for the minister declares Cornelius absolved without the preliminary of confession or contrition, while even, according to D'Aubigne, the inflexible Pope insisted on the necessity of "repentance of the heart and confession of the lips" before the donor's offering could avail him to salvation."

102. ***Rome wasn't living modest*** as Christ taught. Nor, were the Church Clergy living modest post-Council of Nicene. Constantine empire was now fragile and subsiding around him. He required a strong ally to unify the empire from within and no body of citizens served this purposed more adequate than the growing Christian population. Hereupon, Constantine offered them riches and new impressive Churches in exchange for their friendship and support. It appears that history relays a tale where these humble Christians, or at least enough of them, went from living humble lives wearing sack-cloth to gold and comfort. As many centuries would come to pass, Vatican City became a place of extreme luxurious living.

103. It's one thing to place massive attention and work by man, the newest technology, and so much wealth into praising Christ and not merely personal use. On the contrary, many godless behaviors persisted throughout Vatican City. Yes, there were servants everywhere, but we

cannot address this issue with intent to denounce the Church. These servants did as they were told and lived extraordinarily luxurious lives for the peasant class. Most Cardinals fell into greed and material wealth living in Mansions with servants waiting on their orders. Wherefore, how could they preach the word of God as found in the New Testament?


104. This sparks another fierce debate I won't dive into, but riches and wealth were promoted and rewarded by the God of/in the Old Testament.

I've not prepared myself to debate this specific topic of material wealth in Rome and elsewhere in Catholicism, but they clearly became greedy and took things the obsessions too-far. Likewise, it was the preferred behavioral method of the other European Monarch's throughout the entire Middle-Ages and resumes through the present financially-elite. I'm sure they needed power and wealth to remain in control over the ungodly members of the Noble Class or some godless Monarchs.

105. This here develops into my ***primary argument against the Reformers lack of realization in needing of a unified power.***

Undoubtedly, we've fallen victim to the Antichrist, and our fall's commencement, was the light of the Reformation.

106. I don't believe most Popes would commonly make decisions in which they thought the Christ would disapprove. They beseeched the council of Jesus on all matters. Nonetheless, it appears man couldn't properly hold the Powerful position of the Pope without a happening of



multiple Popes taking this so-called Vicar of Christ position to exalt themselves over God. This sin is the very last words recorded in the New Testament, and it's commonly preached at Catholic Churches so who did they assume they were, at times? The Vatican became greedy over man-made riches and this sin alone was enough to take them down. Nevertheless, the way Christianity was broken up is the darkness in our history, which can only be redeemed. From the abyss we'll rise with unification for all believers.

107. ***Don't call any man on Earth your Father***, well, another contradiction we discover here; like all aspects of life, not only solidified in the Church. The Catholic Church does proudly read these words spoken by Jesus during Mass, "Call no man on Earth your Father." The thought's there's no need to call your Priest Father when bumping into him out in public. The Church's a spiritual sanctuary where the Priest represents the teachings of Christs. During Mass, a Priest would never speak of himself or compare himself to Christ, he's just the person relaying the Word to the other believers.

108. Likewise, a Priests always wear their collars outside of church. It's proper for anyone to call them by simply their first name, this almost never happens as they're typically referred to as Father.

109. The Vicar of Christ has caused controversy within the past 4-5 centuries as well. Probably, an inappropriate title? Although, I'm eager to hear more of why people disagree. Remember, it means Christ's representative on earth. The earth is to vile a place to be Christ's kingdom, wherefore, a leader of man will replace and continue Christianity through His will. This title is meant to encourage the Pope to refrain from any decisions unless he believes it's clearly the will of God. A Pope typically refers to Jesus continually when speaking and never compares himself equal to this Sovereign Power.

110. I believe some negative remarks and occurrences had taken-place by the Holy See, because politics became involved when voting in new Popes. This naturally eliminated the most qualified man for the job in some circumstances. This brings rise to the debate of having the Church in

power over, or equal to the governments of Nations. Mostly not originating from within Vatican City, however, many Monarchs and rich nobles tried influencing decision made within Vatican City for many years. This shows another form of constant infiltration the Vatican was subject to over time. It was a city designed to have God shine light and Providence making a paradise on earth, but man was unable to except this and destroyed His perfect kingdom on earth. (this occurred from the clergy men within the city as well).

111. Winston Churchill, this man's known for setting-up the act of Hegelian dialect with the Lusitania sinking in World War I, and through Freemasonry was allied with the Zionists Congress commenced by Rothchild post-The Communist Manifesto authored by Karl Marx. Nonetheless, Churchill also openly stated he despised communism, despite the fact, that Rothchild owned the city of London. (literally owned as a city-state & with this, also owned the Bank of England – both situations remain active today). Marx's followers financially supported by Rothchild were called the 'Reds' throughout Europe, or formally the Red Communists. This name comes directly from the name Rothchild which in German means: Red Shield. The former Bauer family had a coat of arms famously hanging on their house and above the door of their major banks, such as in Hamburg. It was a red shield with a hexagram symbolizing the seed of Satan. The six-pointed star was also used in antiquity by Arab Sorcerers. After Rothchild commencement of three major wars to eventually create the United Nations and the state of Israel, they'd implement the hexagram as the new Jewish symbol proudly flown on their flag. (Although, its color was changed to blue to dis-insinuate Rothchild ownership).

112. Churchill said he couldn't stand communism and stated it's not onerous to become aware of how evil they are. That besides the horrific evil done to Germany since World War I, (truly, since the 20yrs. prior), Churchill says we must look no further than the French Revolution. (which Rothchild began to end Vatican City's remaining power and had 50,000 Christians slaughtered like lambs in Paris). Churchill said, "I'd ally myself with the devil, if Hitler invaded hell."

113. After the French Revolution, outcome of the American Revolution, and genocide of the American Indians to the west who Catholic (Jesuit) missionaries had been converting since 100yrs. before the Pilgrims Landed – The Catholic Church lost its remaining power and influence. Ever since this point in history, the Church faced non-stop waves of attacks and infiltration. The Jesuits sold-out and allied themselves with the Masons and Jewish bankers once they faced defeat in America, and therefore were part of the designing of Washington D.C; which is absolutely loaded with Satanic symbols and paintings all throughout.

114. Soon Rothschild would be the first person to refuse honoring the Pope during his visit to Vatican City. He wasn't about to bend and kiss the slipper. For the refusal of that gesture, I cannot blame him. Although, to have it be a Satanic Jew as the first man to do so, makes it a very forlorn moment in history. Lucifer would soon rise to *reclaim* (in his own/their own belief) his throne. Although, this was only accomplished partway, right up into the present day. Notwithstanding, inside the walls of Vatican City their infiltration would become complete and the largest bearing of false-witness was soon to begin. 115. Hence, satanic Jews and the other satanic Freemason (basically the Zionist's pawns) would pretend to be Christians to a billion Christians worldwide. They'd purposely seek out pedophiles to abuse Christian children and work their mind-control techniques upon them. These vile and most evil people in the existence of mankind would continue destroying lives (enjoyably) into the twenty-first century. (21st century).

116. Who do we continue to support, if we want to halt,
this new Global Satanic Religion?

117. There remains a few Cardinals who fight the Masons and are *desperately attempting to save the Church*, but since 1962 with the installation of Vatican II, they're slowly dying off or seats have been stolen. As Christians what do we do? Support these traditionalist Cardinals in their

quest to save the Church, save honor of Christ by ending all the mocking and disobedience happening, and assist them in revealing the truth to all Catholics so they can save the Christian foundation of their Church.....or do we just sit around and blame it on the real Catholics who suffering at the hand of Satan, because it's not true Catholic Priests who were molesting the children? Anti-Pope Francis would NEVER had qualified to simply be a laity in the Church 100 years ago. He's clearly not a real Catholic Pope, just a New World Order piece of shit who worships Satan and takes orders from Jewish bankers and other globalists. What a disgrace.

118. When we take the time to read and evaluate what the truth is. Recognize the millions of Martyrs and the millions more we're causing because of infighting. This is a clear example of divide-and-conquer. Christians fighting Christians only because the antichrist has tricked them into this behavior.

119. A great accumulation of devoted Christians agonize, causing their own heightened rapidity of prayer, when their fellow-Christian turn a blind-eye. I've read Masonic or Zionist writings which state *the people* will accept any negative change forced upon them, when its implemented in minor doses.

120. Turning the blind-eye and privately complaining about anything (change): accomplishes naught but inspire more of it. (eventual change). We're always acceptable of others. If we don't understand them or even aren't willing to understand the ways of others, we must always understand their rights to be who they are without insult. Certain areas remain less affected by others non-Christians, yet many attachments to outside influence remain firm; via state and federal law, or international bankers and corporations. This naturally is an occurrence of where one resides, country→ state→ local community. Even in these areas, a federal government or even an international organization must have its limits of conscription on belief system.

121. Henceforth, it's okay to not support, or accept a lack of your religion. The problem arises, when your religion is directly attacked, moreover, false statements are claimed in relation. The lying's what drives

me crazy, and it should drive all Christians crazy. Most arduous for me to *put-up* with especially would be lying of history and the role of Christ and His Church (as claimed by N.W.O. affiliates) bringing down humanity.

122. When Christianity is eliminated in small doses, eventually it all becomes eliminated. In the end (which is very close) there's no difference than, if it was all eliminated in one large destructive dose; which by the way, most would never accept. As Christian's we may qualify as the least selfish people on earth. Henceforth, let's not be selfish towards our future generations. Imagine the turmoil these souls will undergo without Christ to save them, during their moments of inevitable tribulations.

123. Our secular and let's face it, non-Christian society, led to my own human weakness becoming exposed. For I was weaker than many, and although coming from a strong Christian family household, I became addicted to this cultures' drugs. Things never should've gotten this far, but imagine if additionally, I didn't have Christ to save me from my addiction, and ultimately my life? Not to mention, an eternal life of bliss, venturing far-away from the pits of Hell.

124. Another topic of interest which could result damaging for the Catholic Church was the fact that before the occurrence of the Reformation, the poor treatment and especially the lack of education with the common folk, or peasant. After becoming educated, mainly addressing the fact of literature, many great advancements occurred throughout our world; including monumental inventions. Therefore, the question is, 1: why was reading reserved for the Noble Class? (even some Nobles wouldn't know the skill of reading). Impressive literature had been around since before Christ, so 2: who was mostly responsible from withholding education from the peasants, the Church, the Aristocrats, or the natural timing of humanity?

125. The most important fact's mankind has the right to beware what he/she truly is. Secret knowledge extending us out of this material world's fact, yet many lived their entire lives never learning or possibly imagined the truth, to verily be true. Hidden, like a dead body stuffed away inside a cabin of the northland forest, the Catholic Churches eliminated the knowledge of pineal use. (electromagnetic/light photons signals/connection). They

suppressed this practice and knowledge for many centuries. According to the ancient Sumerian texts, the pineal-gland was our method of complete devotion to our God, and without, we're left to our own free-will as Satan wanted. There were however, many Medieval Christian Mystics. Whether allowed to practice and document their experiences (now available in print or digital) or done-so during periods of lessened power from the Church, is debatable.

126. Belief though and heed this warning, the occult uses this practice for this witchcraft. In Christianity we can receive the Holy Spirit and enlightenment without this path of connection to a higher spiritual realm. In the *Age of Aquarius* (2012), 'which it truly isn't anyhow as it's hundreds of years away,' the secret societies are releasing this information to the public and people try connecting without being a fully holy being; as one who's prepared. They simply use drugs or certain music with meditation techniques and are destroying themselves and those around them as demon's take-over their bodies and minds. You must use scripture to learn and safely accomplish Pineal activation.

127. The Church had stretches, and sometime extended stretches, when their power waned thin in certain areas outside the Papal States. The Papacy spent time France or divided between France and Rome. After Tomas Aquinas who was fully supportive of the Church, men like Dominick Marsiglio replaced his writings with more political focused writings, still supportive of the Church, but possibly evoking controversy with modern political thought.

128. Nevertheless, monks were allowed to connect to the Spiritual World by activating the soul. (3rd-eye/Pineal). These monks lived together for one reason, and away from society for the same. Any negative energy is dangerous. If a person sends off low vibration signals, demons will come into their lives, possibly existing the body into our three-dimensional world. This practice is for obvious reasons dangerous. Although, we were designed for this purpose and have the right to live this way. If the whole of society acted accordingly, the wed exist in a completely disparate spiritual world then accustomed too. We possess the ability to have heaven on earth, but any who chose otherwise will bring massive harm upon all. The Church

couldn't allow this because they must kill everyone who chooses free-will, in order for this world to function properly; and thou shall not kill. [P.37]

129. The bottom-line: we can contradict all arguments forever; similar to most aspects of worldly life. What's in the past is in the past. Not to mention, anyone residing in the 21st century true apprehension of events in the past. Someone must perform a considerable amount of research not only on the topic chosen, but on many people mentioned, the paradigm shifts of all the timeframes mentioned, the laws, wars, monarchs, etcetera, in order to make the proper assumptions; and even then, we'll never be 100% correct. Therefore, focus on what's in front of you. e.g. not simply the Church in Rome which has many problematic issues, but the hundreds of different churches within your own state that have utterly destroyed the name of God and devalued the teachings of our Lord Christ. Possibly, if you're on a mission to uncover heresy, than the Luciferian religion of the Freemasons is a good place to start.

end of book (Facts & Lies)

†[1p] I fully understand why many Christians would become extremely upset with me for using the word insignificant, when referring to the quarrels of doctrine and dogma. I certainly believe it to be acceptable behavior, actually needed, for disparate Christian sect to vaguely vary in their beliefs; beliefs which they view as absolute. What I'm implying is for all the Christians who believe other Christians may have been misled, to allow and except their beliefs without argument. Form friendships against a common enemy.

Naturally, this acceptance should only occur with the observance of a few basic guidelines being met: Christ is the Son of God, Holy Trinity, etc. The foremost important guideline is to make sure no Satanism/One World Religion with Zionist tendencies begins assimilating into the other churches.