## Christianity & the Right to Bear Arms = 2 Most Potent Defenses

Where's their awareness; from non-believers?



We light-up their path. Are they aware? Perhaps, most of us, aren't aware

Where's the appreciation; from non-believers

Where's the honoring of Christianity for protecting them?!?

Wherefore, for many people who spawned from Christian heritage, notwithstanding

the fact they no-longing practice the religion, will soon be implementing their recompense to the Christ.

## **St. Augustine Witnessed a Similar Circumstances**

The conclusion of 'philosophy of the Info-Age' featured an important fact. This authenticity defined the two main weapons within our arsenal of defense for U.S.A; European, and South American citizens against the *New World Luciferian Order peculiarizing allowed pedophilia and other forms of child-abuse*.

One of two primary weapons are Christianity, something *they've* been seemingly endeavoring to destroy since the dawn of its creation.

Included within the United States, we retain another weapon of upmost caliber. This would be noneother than the *rights to gun-ownership*. This most vital element of our protection has recently undergone relentless attacks. Our enemy orchestrates these vile efforts to withdraw our freedoms by using media coverage to portray these assaults as random 'lone-gunmen' shootings. Furthermore, the Tamerlan brothers and other terrorists supposedly-owned N.R.A. books inside their households, which in return educated them on the availability of ammunition suitable for explosives, and other further knowledge of violence. (yeah, nice try!)

As far as, the Christian religion's protection of the non-Christian (or non-acting Christians) population, this isn't the first situation in history we witness this occurrence. Nor, is this the first time Christianity wasn't thanked and/or praised by the non-Christians they willingly protected.

Correspondingly, the non-Christian population has yet to acknowledge this main defense mechanism which they've benefitted from greatly, and probably will never become alert to this desistance.

Wherefore, we now arrive at *another* man in history who'd became appalled in the non-Christians lack of respect for the protections bestowed upon them from the Christ, and lack of remorse for their own contributing behavior. This man was Saint Augustine of Hippo.

St. Augustine wrote denouncing the pagan's behaviors after the West-Gothic King Alaric and his *barbarian hordes* sacked the city of Rome. Alike the time when the Mongolians arrived on Rome's doorstep, the Bishop of Rome (the Pope) displayed His categorical mindset of saving others in need. He left the safeguard of the city's walls to walk-out to meet the invading enemy for peace talks; for 'twas unequivocal King Alaric's army would gain entry. Although, being Arian Christians, a form of Christianity closely being replicated by modern Jehovah Witness's, King Alaric gave orders to spare the Christians within Rome; out of his respect for Jesus Christ.

The following page displays just a minute sample to the internal thoughts of St. Augustine which he wrote down and preserved after the sacking of Rome. Amazingly, roughly 1,500 years later his records reflect modern sociology. His thoughts are subsequent of the pagan's thoughts, words, actions, behavior and overall treatment of the Christians after the invasion; during which they hid inside Churches acting as if Christians. In typical Christian fashion, they were welcomed, if not encouraged to utilize the safety of the churches.

## The words of St. Augustine of Hippo:

"Are not those very Romans, who were spared by the barbarians through their respect for Christ, become enemies to the name of Christ? The reliquaries of the martyrs and the churches of the apostles bear witness to this; for in the sack of the city they were open sanctuary for all who fled to them, whether Christian or Pagan. To their very threshold the blood-thirsty enemy raged; there his murderous fury owned a limit. Thither did such of the enemy as had any pity convey those to whom they had given quarter, lest any less mercifully disposed might fall upon them. And, indeed, when even those murderers who everywhere else showed themselves pitiless came to those spots where that was forbidden which the license of war permitted in every other place, their furious rage for slaughter was bridled, and their eagerness to take prisoners was quenched.

If, then, Virgil says that the gods were such as these, and were conquered, and that when conquered they could not escape except under the protection of a man, what a madness is it to suppose that Rome had been wisely entrusted to these guardians, and could not have been taken unless it had lost them! Indeed, to worship conquered gods as protectors and champions, what is this but to worship, not good divinities, but evil omens?

Meanwhile I will briefly, and to the best of my ability, explain what I meant to say about these ungrateful men who blasphemously impute to Christ the calamities which they deservedly suffer in consequence of their own wicked ways, while that which is for Christ's sake spared them in spite of their wickedness they do not even take the trouble to notice; and in their mad and blasphemous insolence, they use against His name those very lips wherewith they falsely claimed that same name that their lives might be spared."

